

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/008,933	•	11/09/2001	Marc L. Aronson	20134-2	4793
572	7590	05/28/2003			
	RD A. PO	<del>-</del>	EXAMINER		
9800B MCKNIGHT ROAD SUITE 115				HENCE, ANDREA A	
PITTSBURGH, PA 15237				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			•	2854	

DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/008,933	10/008,933 ARONSON, MARC L.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Andrea A. Hence	2854	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ad	dress
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, m y within the statutory minimum o will apply and will expire SIX (6)	ay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this considered timely	y. ommunication.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 I	<u> March 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for formal Ex parte Quayle, 1935	matters, prosecution as to the 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is
4) 🖂	Claim(s) $1-10$ is/are pending in the application	1.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement		
1 ''	on Papers			
1	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• • •	
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examine	er.
40)[7]	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	·		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
i	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
1	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	Certified copies of the priority documents			
,	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ol>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	1)).	3tage
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).
_a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application ha	s been received.	,
Attachment				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s e of Informal Patent Application (PTO	
U.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 5	

Application/Control Number: 10/008,933

Art Unit: 2854

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Webb (4,353,521) in view of Mitsubishi (JP 0121755).

Webb shows an anti-theft device that includes all of the limitations recited in claims 1-10. Referring to claim 1, Webb shows a lock apparatus including the combination of locking heads (20, 49) having upstanding anchor walls (inside walls of vertical members of (49)) protruding from a back wall (side walls of vertical members of (49)) such that the anchor walls of one locking head (49) receive a tangible object and the anchor walls (34) of the other locking head (20); struts (42), (44) for connecting said locking heads in a space apart relation with said anchor walls extending toward each other; and a lock (56) for interlocking aid locking heads in a spaced apart relation between said struts. Web does not teach use of a hand stamping device in combination with the lock. However, Web teaches use of the lock with flanged objects.

Mitsubishi teaches a hand stamp with a lock mechanism. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Webb such that a hand stamp is secured by the lock mechanism in order to obstruct movement of the stamp as taught by Mitsubishi.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,933

Art Unit: 2854

Referring to claims 2 and 3, Webb shows the lock apparatus further including releasably interconnecting tab and slot connectors (32), (52) carried by one of said locking heads (20) and one of said struts (42) for receiving said lock.

Referring to claim 4, Webb shows the lock apparatus wherein said struts (42), (44) are integral with one of said locking heads (49).

Referring to claims 5 and 8, Webb shows the lock apparatus wherein said anchor walls (inside walls of vertical members of (49)) extend in a parallel spaced apart relation from one of said locking heads (20).

Referring to claim 6, Webb shows a lock apparatus wherein anchor walls (inside walls of vertical members of (49)) extend in a converging angular relation from one of said locking heads (49).

Referring to claim 7, Webb shows the lock apparatus wherein the anchor walls of said one locking head (20) have an extending length for protruding into such a frame cavity. Web does not teach that the frame cavity is a distance sufficient to prevent movement of the stamp. Mitsubishi teaches providing a frame cavity (1 and 7) at a distance sufficient to prevent movement of the stamp. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Webb such that the frame cavity is at a distance sufficient to prevent movement of the stamp such that downward movement of the frames is obstructed as taught by Mitsubishi.

Referring to claim 9, Webb shows a lock apparatus wherein said back wall of said other locking head (49) is defined by a length and width.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,933

Art Unit: 2854

Referring to claim 10, Webb shows the lock apparatus wherein said back wall of said other locking head (49) is defined by a length and width and wherein anchor walls (inside walls of vertical members of (49)) of one locking head have an extending length.

## Conclusion

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrea A. Hence whose telephone number is (703) 305-8427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday; 8:30a-5:30p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Andrea A. Hence

AAH May 19, 2003

> ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800